REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the claims pending in the application are requested. Claims 1-49 are pending in the application. as follows:

- 1) Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7-18, 19 and 20-37 and 49 have been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as unpatentable over Cofta, of record, in view of DiLuoffo et al., of record.
- 2) Claim 6 has been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as unpatentable over Cofta, of record, as modified by DiLuoffo et al., of record and US 2002/0131445 to Skubic et al.(hereafter, "Skubic et al."), published September 19, 2002.
- 2) Claims 5, 38-47 have been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Cofta, of record, as modified by Di Luoffo, of record and Skubic et al. in view of USP 6,587,835 to Treyz et al., of record.

Applicants' attorney thanks Primary Examiner Pierre Elisca and Examiner Evens Augustin for the courtesy of a telephonic interview conducted March 31, 2006. The interview discussed claims 1 and 37 and reviewed Word Document Number 70793 entitled "Sovio (SN 10/785,025) Vs Cited Art" (attached as Exhibit A) prepared by Applicants' attorney for purposes of distinguishing the subject matter of claims 1, 19, 37 and 6 from the cited art. The Document was provided to the Examiner prior to the Interview. Applicants' attorney noted the cited references, alone or in combination, failed to disclose or suggest (i) a pilot device or smart card which communicates with both a mobile device and a self service terminal via RFID connections, (ii) a mobile device transferring security information to a pilot and the pilot transferring the security information to the self service terminal via the RFID connections, (iii) the self service terminal using the security information to establish a RF connection with the mobile terminal, where the RFID connection to the self service terminal has a small range than the RF connection between the self service terminal and the mobile device, and (iv) the mobile device transferring security information to a master pilot device via a RFID connection for activating slave mobile devices for interacting with a self service terminal via a RFID connection. The Document demonstrated the cited art, alone or in combination, did not disclose or suggest the claimed subject matter. The Examiners indicated the claims did not clearly bring out the differences, and such clarification of the claims in an amendment to the subject Office Action would possibly overcome the rejection.

Before responding to the rejection, Applicants would like to distinguish Cofta, DiLuoffo, Skubic, and Treyz (the cited art) from the invention (Sovio), as follows:

- A. Cofta communicates with a card issue via a mobile phone to obtain authorization information to purchase goods from a self service terminal and providing the authorization information to the terminal to complete the transaction. The Examiner acknowledges Cofta fails to disclose a portable pilot linked to a mobile phone.
- B. DiLuoffo discloses a smart card attached or communicating with a mobile phone, the card containing security information enabling the mobile phone to conduct secure and non-secure transactions with a terminal. DiLuoffo fails to disclose (i) the smart card as a user carried fob or pilot for conducting transaction with a terminal, (ii) the smart card linked to both the mobile phone and a terminal, (iii) the smart card providing security information to the terminal from the mobile phone, and (iv) the terminal establishing a connection with mobile phone using the security information provided by the smart card
- C. Skubic only discloses a Bluetooth connection between a terminal and a mobile phone for communication purposes. Skubic does not supply the missing features in Cofta and DiLuoffo, described above.
- D. Treyz discloses a handheld computer or mobile phone interacting with a terminal at short RF ranges for conducting transactions with a terminal. Treyz fails to disclose the handheld computer linked to both a mobile phone and to a terminal and able to conduct transactions with the terminal based on security information received from the mobile phone.
- E. The combination of the cited art does not teach or suggest to a worker skilled in the art a user portable fob or pilot linked to both a mobile phone and terminal, wherein (i) the fob or pilot uses security information provided by the mobile phone to conduct transactions with the terminal, (ii) after a connection is established between the terminal and mobile phone, (iii) based on security information provided to the terminal by the fob or pilot device.

Now turning to the rejection, Applicants responds to the indicated paragraphs of the Office Action, as follows:

Paragraphs 2/3:

Claims 1-4, 7- 37 and 49, after amendment, include features not disclosed or suggested in Cofta in view of DiLuoffo, and overcome the rejection under 35 USC 103 (a), as follows

A. Claim 1:

i) imprinting at least an association of the security key and mobile phone identification into at least one user portable fob or pilot via an initial short-range radio link;

DiLuoffo does not supply the missing feature in Cofta. DiLuoffo discloses imprinting security information from a smart card to an attached or radio linked mobile phone, whereas applicants disclose the reverse, that is, imprinting security information from the mobile phone into the user portable fob or pilot.

ii) transferring at least the association of the security key and the mobile phone identification from the at least one user portable fob or pilot to a self-service merchant terminal through the initial short-range radio link;

DiLuoffo does not supply the missing feature in Cofta. DiLouffo does not disclose the smart card transferring mobile phone security information into the self service terminal

iii) establishing a secure short-range connection between the self-service terminal and the mobile phone based on the transferred security key and the mobile phone identification information from the at least one user portable fob or pilot, wherein the initial short-range radio link has a significantly smaller radio coverage than the secure short-range connection.

DiLuoffo does not disclose the missing feature in Cofta. DiLuffo fails to disclose (i) the smart card as a portable fob or pilot for conducting transaction with the terminal; (ii) providing security information to the self service terminal to enable the terminal to establish a short range connection with the mobile phone.

Summarizing, Cofta in view of DiLuoffo fails to disclose or suggest features (i), (ii), and (iii), described above. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 103 (a) is without support in the cited art. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claim 1 are requested.

B. Claim 19:

(i) A system for enabling a user in a mobile environment to conduct transactions via a self-service terminal, comprising: a user portable fob or pilot device associated with the mobile device and including a semi-passive RFID transponder;

DiLuoffo discloses a smart tag communicating with a mobile device and fails to disclose a user portable fob or pilot for conducting transaction and including a RFID transponder.

ii) means for imprinting said stored identification and at least an association of the security information of the device over an RFID connection into the user portable fob or pilot;

DiLuoffo discloses the smart card imprinting security information into the mobile device whereas applicants imprint the mobile device security information into the user portable fob

iii) means for transferring by the user fob or pilot said imprinted identification and security information to the self-service terminal over an RFID connection; and

DiLuoffo fails to disclose the smart card transferring security information into the self-service terminal via an RFID connection.

iv) means for establishing a secure short-range connection between the self-service terminal and the device based on said transferred identification and security information of the device from the user portable fob or pilot, wherein the RFID connection has significantly smaller radio coverage than the secure short-range connection.

DiLuoffo fails to disclose the terminal using the information transferred from the user fob to set up a short range connection with the mobile device.

Summarizing, Cofta in view of DiLuoffo fail to disclose or suggest features (i) – (iv), described above. The rejection of claim 19 under 35 USC 103 (a) is without support in the cited art. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claim 19 are requested.

C Claim 27:

i) a user portable fob or semi-passive transponder for responding to RF signals transmitted by an associated mobile device;

DiLuoffo discloses a smart card or transponder <u>providing</u> signal to a mobile device, whereas applicants disclose a user portable fob or pilot <u>receiving</u> signals from a mobile

device.

ii) means responsive to the transponder for storing unique information related

to a mobile device.

DiLuoffo does not disclose storing unique information received from a related

mobile phone.

Summarizing, Cofta in view of DiLuoffo fails to disclose or suggest features (i) and (ii), described above. The rejection of claim 27 under 35 USC 103 (a) is without support in the cited art. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claim 27 are requested.

D. Claim 33:

Claim 33 corresponds to claim 1, but written in product format. Claim 33 is patentable over the cited art for the same reasons indicated in connection with the consideration of claim 1.

E. Claim 37:

(i) A method of enabling a first user portable fob or pilot device to serve as a master pilot for at least one second user portable fob or pilot devices as slave devices capable of interacting with a terminal, comprising: installing a reader and switching means in the first user portable fob or pilot device serving as a master device and further including a processor and storage means;

DiLuoffo fails to disclose a user portable fob or pilot carried by the user and serving as a master device for second user portable fobs or pilot devices.

(ii) imprinting and storing in the master user fob or pilot device a phone address and a security key of a mobile phone;

DiLuoffo discloses the smart card imprinting the mobile device with security information, whereas applicants disclose the mobile deice imprinting the user carried fob with security information.

(iii) At least one second user portable fob or pilot device, each serving as a slave device to the master device and further including a processor and storage, each slave device capable of receiving and transmitting signals from/to the master device;

DiLuoffo fails to disclose second user portable fob or pilot devices.

(iv) imprinting the phone address, security key and policy restraints by the master device in a slave device after receiving an address identifying the slave device; and

DiLuoffo fails to disclose a smart card imprinting slave devices with security information master.

(v) using the slave device to interact with a terminal to purchase an item, after a secure connection is established between the terminal and the mobile phone.

DiLuoffo fails to disclose smart cards interacting with a terminal to purchase an item.

F. Claims 2-4 and 7-37 depend from and further limit claim 1. Claims 2-4 and 7-37 are patentable over the cited art for the reasons indicated above in connection with the consideration of the cited art.

G. Claim 49:

Claim 49 combines claims 1, 7 and 16 and is patentable over the cited art on the same basis as claim 1.

Summarizing, Cofta in view of DiLuoffo fails to disclose or suggest features (i)- (v), described above. The rejection of claims 1-4, 7-37 and 49 under 35 USC 103 (a) is without support in the cited art. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claims 1-4, 7-37 and 49 are requested.

Paragraph 4:

Claim 6 includes features not disclosed in Cofta as modified by DiLuoffo in view of Skubic, and overcomes the rejection under 35 USC 103 (a), as follows:

Claim 6 depends from claim 1 is patentable over the cited art for the same reasons indicated in connection with the consideration of Claim 1. Withdrawal and allowance of Claim 6 are requested.:

Paragraph 5:

Claims 5, 38-47 include features not disclosed in Cofta, as modified by DiLuoffo and Skubic in view of Treyz, and overcome the rejection under 35 USC 103 (a), as follows

- A. Skubic and Treyz do not supply the missing features in Claim 1, and not found in Cofta in view of DiLuoffo, as indicated above in the consideration of the cited art. Claim 5 depends from and further limit Claim 1. Claim 5 is patentable over the cited art for the same reasons indicated in connection with the consideration of Claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of Claim 5 are requested.
- B. Skubic and Treyz do not supply the missing features in Cofta in Claims 27-48, and not found in Cofta in view of DiLuoffo, as indicated above in the consideration of the cited art. Claims 38 47 depend from and further limit Claim 37. Claims 38-47 are patentable over the cited art for the same reasons indicated in connection with the consideration of claim 38.

Atty. Dkt. No. 4208-4169

Serial No. <u>10/785,025</u>

Response to February 7, 2006 Office Action

CONCLUSION:

Having amended claims 1, 19, 27, 33, and 37 to further define and distinguished the claimed subject matter from the cited art, applicants request entry of the amendment; withdrawal of the rejection; allowance of the claims, and passage to issue of the case.

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for consideration of this Amendment to Deposit Account No. <u>13-4503</u>, Order No. <u>4208-4169</u>. A DUPLICATE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED.

In the event that an extension of time is required, or which may be required in addition to that requested in a petition for an extension of time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to Deposit Account No. <u>13-4503</u>, Order No. <u>4208-4169</u>. A DUPLICATE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: April 27, 2006

Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.

Registration No. 18,753

(212) 415-8700 Telephone

(212) 415-8701 Facsimile

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

3 World Financial Center

New York, NY 10281-2101

EXHIBIT A

Sovio (S.N. 10/785,025) Vs Cited Art

Claim	Sovio	Cofta	DiLuoffo	Skubic	Treyz
Claim 1	Filed 2/25/04	Filed 12/19/00	Filed 5/22/03	Filed 3/01	Filed 2/01
Method to	A short- range	A system for	A smart card	A method and	a cellular telephone
Conduct	communication	performing a	system for secure	apparatus for	with computing
Transactions	method, system	transaction,	transmission of	enabling	capabilities may be
in a Mobile	and program	comprising a	post issuance data	anonymous	used to perform
Environment	product enable a	communications	to an embedded	communications	some of the
	user in a mobile	device (CD), a	chip using a chip	from a first	functions of
	environment to	first party and a	relay module, a	Bluetooth	handheld
	conduct short-	second party,	plurality of	device to a	computing device
	range	(P9). CD may be	hardware security	second	12. For many
	transactions	a mobile phone	modules(P10)	Bluetooth	functions, handheld
	with a self-	(P69)		device wherein a	computers may be
	service			temporary	preferable to
	merchant			identification	cellular telephones.
	terminal with			number	(Col. 9, Lines 60-
	respect to			associated with the first	65)
	transaction			Bluetooth.	
	security and			device is	
	payment mechanism			obtained and	
	without using			used in	
	currency			transmissions	
	Currency			from the first	
	Pg. 3, Lines 17-			Bluetooth	
	20.			device to the	
	20.			second	
	Fig. 1.	,		Bluetooth	
				device.	
				(Abstract)	
Maintain	The phone	Security Key	Alternatively,		
Security Key	includes an	maintained in	card reader/writer		
in Mobile	application for:	SIM Card of	112 may be a		
Device (MD)	(1) generating	CD. (P45)	reader only such		
	and sending the		as a cellular		
	pilot a random		phone (which)		
	nonce and a		have dual slots		
	sequence		where one slot is		
	number (SEQ),		for SIM and the		
	and (2)		other slot is for		
	imprinting a		the smart card.		
,	secret key (k)				
	and the phone				
	address,				
L	typically the			<u> </u>	

ſ	MAC-11				Γ
	MAC address in				
	the pilot via a				
	RFID		'		
	connection. Pg.		:		
T	3, Lines 23-26.	DD	amont conda in uso		
Imprint	Figure 5	PP not	smart cards in use		
Security Key	describes a	disclosed.	today are flat,		
(SK) & MIN	generic process		rectangular pieces		
Into Portable Pilot	600 for		of plastic resembling credit		
Pilot	imprinting a		cards having		
	secret key (k) and		electronic		
	identification		circuitry		
	information,		embedded		
	such as an		therein. A typical		
	address		smart card		
	(typically a		includes a		
	MAC address)		microprocessor		
	of a phone or		coupled to a		
	mobile device		memory, and the		
	(as a Master)		microprocessor		
	601 into a pilot		executes		
	(slave) 603. Pg.		instructions and		
	11, Lines 18-20.		performs		
			operations on		
			data of at least	·	
			one software		
			application		
			program stored in		
			the memory.		
			Smart cards		
			commonly appear		
			in the form of		
			credit cards, key-		
			shaped tokens,		
			and subscriber		
-			identity modules		
			(SIMs) used in		
			certain types of cellular		
			telephones. (P03)		
Transfer SK		Transfer of SK	When smart card		Wireless
& MIN From	The	to Term. Via SR	102 is inserted		communications
Pilot to SS	pilot computes	radio Link – Not	into card		paths that use short-
Term. via SR	RES= f (nonce,	disclosed.	reader/writer 112,		range optical
Radio Link	SEQ, k) (k is		corresponding		connections such as
Tagio Dilat	from		members of the		IR links and short-
	imprinting) and		two sets of		range RF links over
	K'=g (nonce,		contacts may		distances from a
	SEQ, k) and		come into		fraction of a foot to
	sends these to		physical contact		hundreds of feet are
	the terminal as a		with one another.		referred to herein as
	response		Alternately, both		"local"
	message, (f)		card reader/writer		communications
	and (g) being		112 and smart		paths or links. An

			·		
	one-way		card 102 may		example of a local
	functions .		include wireless		communications
	based on		communication		path is an IR link
	cryptographic		interfaces for		between handheld
	hash		communicating		computing device
	computations.		without electrical		12 and a kiosk or
	Pg. 15, Lines		contact. In		cash register.
	12-14.		addition, card		Another example of
			reader/writer 112		a local
			and smart card		communications
	:		102 are		path is a Bluetooth
			preferably		connection between
			capable of		handheld
			establishing and		computing device
			carrying out		12 and a wireless
			secure		transmitter/receiver.
			communications.		(Col. 13, Lines 2-
			(P96). <u>DiLuoffo</u>		38)
			fails to disclose a		Treyz discloses IR
			SR Radio Link.		links and SR
			SK Radio Lilik.		connections and
					fails to disclose
					· —————————
			D'7 CC C'1		RFID connections.
Establish SR	The	Alternatively,	DiLuoffo fails to		Treyz fails to
connection	terminal uses	the connection	disclose a SR		disclose a SS
(Cn) between	session key K'	to the point of	radio link and a		terminal including
SS Term. &	to establish a	sale device can	SR Connection.		RFID links and SR
MD where	secure short-	useFor			connections.
SR link has	range	example, the			,
smaller radio	communication	"Bluetooth"			
coverage	channel with the	proposed			
than SR Cn.	phone. The	standard can be			
	counter part,	used. (P34)			
	which is the	SR link is not			
		disclosed.			
	phone, derives the session key				
	K' and uses that				
	for the secure				
	communication.		'		
	Pg. 15, Lines				
	15-17.				
1	The				
	necessary				
	information for				
	the connection				
	establishment is				
	provided				
	through the				
	portable pilot by				
	an initial short-				
	i				
	range link				
	between the				
	self-service				
I	terminal and the	I	1		

	pilot, which has a significantly smaller radio coverage than the secure short-range connection to minimize the possibility of eavesdropping. Pg. 16, Lines 4-7.			
Claim 19				
System to Conduct Transactions in a Mobile Environment	Se Claim 1 above.	See Claim 1 above.	See Claim 1 above.	
MD incl. SR transceiver & RFID transceiver	Pg. 9, lines 17-20.	The communication device 22 may be a mobile telephone arranged solely to communicate with the point of sale devices 16 and the card issuer 12.(P24). RFID transceiver not disclosed.	DiLuoffo fails to disclose SR transceiver and RFID transceiver.	
Portable Pilot (PP) incl. semi-passive RFID transponder	Pg. 10, Lines 11-30.	Not Disclosed	Not Disclosed	
A SS Term. Including RFID Transc & SR Transc	Pg. 11, Lines 15-17.	Alternatively, the connection to the point of sale device can useFor example, the "Bluetooth" proposed standard can be used (P34). Cofta fails to	Alternately, both card reader/writer 112 and smart card 102 may include wireless communication interfaces for communicating without electrical contact. (P96). <u>DiLuoffo fails to</u>	

				_	,
		disclose two	disclose a RFID		
		different	transceiver and a		
		transceivers in	SR transceiver.		
		the Terminal			
Means	Pg. 9, Lines 18-	The	smart card 102		
Storing Id	28.	authentication	includes a chip		
Inf. & Sec.	20.	centre of the	identification		
		network	number (CIN)		
Inf. In MD		1			
		generates a	400. Following		
		random number	authentication of		
		and secret key	the user, CRM		
		are used in a	requests CIN		
		manner	400 from smart		
		which verifies	card 102 and		
		the identity of	smart card 102		
		the device 22.	responds by		
		(P45) Cofta fails	providing CIN		
		to disclose Id in	400 to computer		
		MD.	system 110.		
			DiLuoffo fails to		
			disclose		
			imprinting stored		
			Id & Sec. Info.		
	D 1 T: 10	DD 4	Into MD.		
Means	Pg. 1, Lines 18-	PP not	DiLuoffo fails to		
imprinting	29.	disclosed.	disclose		
stored Id &			imprinting stored		
Sec. Inf. Into			Id & Sec. Info.		
PP			Into smart card.		
Means	Pg 15, lines 1-3.	PP & RFID	DiLuoffo fails to		
transferring		connection not	<u>disclose</u>		·
by PP		disclosed.	transferring by		
imprinted Id			smart card		
& Sec Inf. to			imprinted Id &		
SS Term via			Sec. Info into SS		
RFID			Terminal via		
connection			RFID connection.		
Means	Pg. 15, Lines 4-	RFID	RFID connection		
Establishing	17.	connection not	not disclosed.		
Secure SR		disclosed.			
Conn.					
between SS					
Term & MD					
where RFID					
conn. has					
smaller radio					
coverage the					
_					
SR Conn.	-				
Claim 37	Can Claim 1	Con Claire 1	Sac Claire 1		
Method	See Claim 1	See Claim 1	See Claim 1		
enabling	above.	above.	above.		
First PP to					
serve as a					
Master PP					
for at least					

One Slave					
PP capable					
of inter					
acting with a					
Term.					
Installing	Pg. 13, Lines 2-	MPD not	Master smart card		
Reader &	6.	disclosed.	not disclosed.		
switch in					
First PD					
incl. a					
Processor &					
Storage					
&serving as	'				
a Master PD					
(MPD)					<u></u>
Imprinting &	Pg. 13, Lines 7-	MPD not	Master smart card		
Storing in	21.	disclosed.	not disclosed.		
MPD phone					
address &					
SK of a					
mobile phone					
At least one	Pg. 13, Line 9.	SD not	Slave smart card		
second PD	1 g. 13, Luic 3.	disclosed.	not disclosed.		
Į.		disclosed.	not disclosed.		
serving as a					
slave device					
(SD) &					
further incl. a				,	
Processor &					
Storage, each					
slave device					
capable of					
receiving &					
transmitting					
signals from					
MPD					
Imprinting	Pg. 13, Lines 7-	SD not	Master smart card		
phone	21.	<u>disclosed</u>	not disclosed.		
address, SK					
& policy					
restraints in					
slave after					
receiving an					
address					
identifying					
the slave					
device	1.0		01 D		
Using the	After the	SD not	Slave Device not		
slave device	establishment of	disclosed.	disclosed.		
to interact	the secure				
with a	connection, the				
Terminal to	holder of the				
purchase an	slave fob				
item, after a	interacts with				
secure	the self-service				
connection is	terminal to				
	. iciiiiiiiiiii 10	l	ı	1	ı

established between the Terminal & the mobile phone.	make purchases according to a shopping list, A clerk at the counter delivers the purchased items. Pg. 13, Line 22			
	continuing to			
Claim 6	Pg. 14, line 3.	 		
The method of Claim 1, wherein the mobile phone identification is a Bluetooth address of the mobile phone.	a secure short-range connection between the self-service terminal and the mobile phone, wherein the pilot 703 simply provides the self-service terminal 701 with phone address 705 (Bluetooth address of the mobile phone). Page 15, Lines 23-25.		a Bluetooth radio unit transmits over the wireless link a unique identity number that enables other devices to identify and address the Bluetooth radio unit. (P02)	